

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,691	ZELINSKI, JOSEPH R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarang Afzali	3729	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 10/644,691

 (1) Sarang Afzali.

(3) _____.

 (2) Donald Ersler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 20, 18, 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr Ersler to propose an examiner's amendment to facilitate the allowance of the case. The independent claims 1, 11, and 20 were discussed regarding some clarifications of the limitations Amendment filed on 12/01/2005. Both examiner and Mr. Ersler agreed on the new claim language and further discussed some informalities in disclosure and claims 18 and 28. Furthermore, both agreed on putting back the word "removably" in all claims 1-28 as it was omitted on 12/01/2005 correspondence.